

# Harmonic maps from a two-torus into a complex projective space

Seiichi Udagawa

Department of Mathematics

## Introduction

This paper is an exposition of a recent result of Burstall's in [B] which says that any non-superminimal harmonic map from a two-torus into a complex projective space is covered by a *primitive* map of finite type into a certain generalized flag manifold. In [B-P], the outline of the proof of his result is appeared. First, it is observed that a primitive map  $\psi$  from a two-torus  $T^2$  into a  $k$ -symmetric space  $G/K$  is of finite type if, for some (hence every) framing of  $\psi$ ,  $\alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z)$  is semisimple on a dense subset of  $T^2$ , where  $\alpha'_m$  is defined as follows: For some (local) lifting  $F : T^2 \rightarrow G$  of  $\psi : T^2 \rightarrow G/K$ , set  $\alpha = F^{-1}dF$ , which is the pull-back of the Maurer-Cartan form of  $G$ . Corresponding to the reductive decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m} = T_o(G/K)$ , set  $\alpha = \alpha_m + \alpha_k$ , and  $\alpha_m = \alpha'_m + \alpha''_m$  is a decomposition into (1,0)-form and (0,1)-form, respectively.

In this paper, we give a proof of the fact that any non-superminimal harmonic map  $\varphi$  from a two-torus into a complex projective space may be lifted to a primitive map with semisimple  $\alpha'_m$  into a certain generalized flag manifold, where the twistor space is chosen according to the isotropy order of  $\varphi$ .

## 1. Generalized flag manifold associated to a non-superminimal harmonic map into a complex projective space

Let  $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$  be a harmonic map, where  $\mathbf{C}P^n = SU(n+1)/S(U(1) \times U(n))$ . Let  $L_0$  be the pull-back of universal bundle over  $\mathbf{C}P^n$  by  $\varphi$ .  $L_0$  is a subbundle of the trivial bundle  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}) = S \times \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ . We equip  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$  with the standard Hermitian connected structure with Hermitian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \bar{g}_i, \quad \text{for } f = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n), \quad g = (g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)$$

For any subbundle  $F$  of  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$ , we denote by  $F^\perp$  the Hermitian orthogonal complement of  $F$  in  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$ . Then,  $F$  and  $F^\perp$  are both equipped with the induced Hermitian connected structures from  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$ . Moreover,  $F$  and  $F^\perp$  both have the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structures. Let  $A_z^{F, F^\perp}$  be the (1,0)-part of the second fundamental form of  $F$  in  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$ . By taking the image of the second fundamental form, we may define the new subbundle of  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$ , which is extended to smooth subbundle over  $S$  (see [B-P-W]).

Now, starting from  $L_0$ , we may have the harmonic sequence  $L_0 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_{r-1} \rightarrow R$ , where  $L_i = \text{Im} A_z^{L_{i-1}, L_{i-1}^\perp}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $R = V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}) \ominus (\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} L_i)$ . This situation means that each of  $L_0, L_1, \dots, L_{r-1}$  and  $R$  are orthogonal to each other with respect to the Hermitian metric on  $V(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$ . In this case, we say that  $\varphi$  has  $\partial'$ -isotropy order  $r$ . From the definition of harmonic sequence, it is always true that  $r \geq 1$ . For notational simplicity, set  $L_r = R$ . Set  $G = SU(n+1)$ . Fix any point  $p \in S$  and define  $Q \in G$  by

$$Q = \zeta^i \quad \text{on } (L_i)_p \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, r$$

where  $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/r + 1)$ . Then,  $\tau = \text{Ad}Q$  is an order  $(r+1)$ -automorphism of  $G$  and the identity component of its fixed set is  $S(U(1) \times \cdots \times U(1) \times U(n+1-r))$ , which we denote by  $K$ . Hence, we define a map  $\psi : S \rightarrow N = G/K$  by

$$\psi(q) = ((L_0)_q, (L_1)_q, \dots, (L_r)_q), \quad \text{for } q \in S$$

Choose the base point  $o = \psi(p)$ . The complexification  $g^{\mathbf{C}}$  of Lie algebra  $g$  of  $G$  is decomposed into the eigenspaces of  $\tau$ :

$$g^{\mathbf{C}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}_{r+1}} g_j$$

where

$$m^{\mathbf{C}} = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j, \quad k^{\mathbf{C}} = g_0$$

For  $x = g \cdot o \in N$ , define  $\hat{\tau} : N \rightarrow N$  by  $\hat{\tau}(g \cdot o) = \tau(g) \cdot o$ . Define  $\hat{\tau}_x : N \rightarrow N$  by  $\hat{\tau}_x = g \circ \hat{\tau} \circ g^{-1}$ . We may use the Killing form of  $g$  to equip  $N$  with a metric for which each of the  $\hat{\tau}_x$  is an isometry so that  $N$  has the structure of an  $(r+1)$ -symmetric space([K]). Let  $[g_i]$  be a subbundle of  $N \times g$  of which the fibre at  $x \in N$  is given by  $[g_i]_x = \text{Ad}g g_i$ . Then,  $[g_i]_x$  is  $\zeta^i$ -eigenspace of  $d\hat{\tau}_x$ . For  $x = g \cdot o \in N$ , the map  $g \rightarrow T_x N$  given by

$$\xi \rightarrow \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{expt} \xi \cdot x$$

restricts to an isomorphism  $\text{Ad}g m \cong T_x N$ . The inverse map  $\beta_x : T_x N \rightarrow \text{Ad}g m \subset g$  may be viewed as a  $g$ -valued 1-form  $\beta$  on  $N$ , which is called Maurer-Cartan form for  $N$  (see [B-R]).

*Definition.* A map  $\psi : S \rightarrow G/K$  of a Riemann surface is called *primitive* if  $\psi^* \beta(\partial/\partial z)$  takes values in  $[g_1]$ , or equivalently  $\alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z)$  takes values in  $g_1$  for any framing  $F : S \rightarrow G$ .

**Lemma 1.1.**  $A_z^{(L_i)_p, (L_{i+1})_p}$  is  $g_1$ -valued for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , where  $L_{r+1} = L_0$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  is a primitive map.

*Proof.* Let  $f_i$  be a local section of  $L_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . If we set  $A_z^{L_i, L_{i+1}}(f_i) = a_{i, i+1} f_{i+1}$ , then we have, at  $p$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ad}Q(A_z^{L_i, L_{i+1}}))Qf_i &= Q(a_{i, i+1} f_{i+1}) \\ &= \zeta^{i+1} A_z^{L_i, L_{i+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that  $AdQ(A_z^{L_i, L_{i+1}}) = \zeta A_z^{L_i, L_{i+1}}$ . Next, we show the second assertion. From [B-R], we see that  $\psi^*\beta(\partial/\partial z)$  is the sum of the second fundamental forms of  $L_i$ 's ( $i = 0, \dots, r$ ). Then, from the construction of harmonic sequence, it follows that

$$(1.1) \quad \psi^*\beta(\partial/\partial z) = \sum_{i=0}^r A_z^{L_i, L_{i+1}}$$

where  $L_{r+1} = L_0$  for convention. Since  $\psi^*\beta_p = \alpha_m$ , it follows from (1.1) that  $\psi$  is a primitive map. q.e.d.

It remains to show that  $\alpha'_m$  is a semisimple element on a dense subset of  $S$ .

## 2. Non-conformal harmonic maps into $CP^n$ .

Let  $\hat{f}_0$  be a local non-zero holomorphic section of  $L_0$  and  $(\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n)$  a local field of unitary frames of  $L_1 = L_0^\perp$ . If we set  $f_i = \det(\hat{f}_0, \dots, \hat{f}_n)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \hat{f}_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , then  $\det(f_0, \dots, f_n) = 1$ . Moreover, we see that  $|f_0| \cdots |f_n| = 1$ . Now, define  $F_i$  by  $F_i = \exp(-w_i) f_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , where  $w_i = \log |f_i|$ . Then,  $F = (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n)$  is  $SU(n+1)$ -valued locally defined function on  $S$ . Let  $g = su(n+1)$  be a Lie algebra of  $SU(n+1)$ . Then,  $g^{\mathbf{C}} = sl(n+1, \mathbf{C})$  is decomposed as

$$(2.1) \quad g^{\mathbf{C}} = g_0 \oplus g_1$$

where  $g_i$  is the  $\zeta^i$ -eigenspace of  $\tau$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . We see that  $g_1$  is isomorphic to the complexification of the tangent space at the base point and that  $A_z^{L_0, L_0^\perp}$  and  $A_{\bar{z}}^{L_0^\perp, L_0}$  are  $g_1$ -valued (c.f. Lemma 1.1). Write

$$(2.2) \quad A_z^{L_0, L_0^\perp}(f_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i, \quad A_{\bar{z}}^{L_0^\perp, L_0}(f_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f_i$$

Let  $g = m + k$  be a Cartan decomposition. Then,  $m^{\mathbf{C}} = g_1$ . Let  $\alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z)$  be a  $m$ -part of  $F^{-1}(\partial F/\partial z)$ . Then, for  $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$(F^{-1}(\partial F/\partial z))_{j,0} = \langle A_z^{L_0, L_0^\perp}(F_0), \overline{F_j} \rangle = a_j w_{j,0} \quad ,$$

$$(F^{-1}(\partial F/\partial z))_{0,j} = -\overline{(F^{-1}(\partial F/\partial \bar{z}))_{j,0}} = -\langle A_{\bar{z}}^{L_0^\perp, L_0}(F_0), \overline{F_j} \rangle = -\overline{b_j} w_{j,0} \quad ,$$

where  $w_{j,0} = \exp(w_j - w_0)$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\overline{\mathbf{b}} \\ {}_t \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{0}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1 w_{1,0}, a_2 w_{2,0}, \dots, a_n w_{n,0})$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1 w_{1,0}, b_2 w_{2,0}, \dots, b_n w_{n,0})$  and  $\mathbf{0}_n$  is an  $n \times n$ -zero matrix. If we write  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \overline{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = r \cdot \exp(i\theta)$  for non-zero real numbers  $r, \theta$ , then the eigenvalues of  $\alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z)$  are 0 (with multiplicity  $n-1$ ) and  $\pm \sqrt{r} i \exp(i\theta/2)$ . If we set  $A = A_z^{L_0^\perp, L_0} \circ A_z^{L_0, L_0^\perp}$ , then we see that  $\text{trace} A = \langle \mathbf{a}, \overline{\mathbf{b}} \rangle$ . Therefore,  $\varphi : S \rightarrow CP^n$  is non-conformal at  $x \in S$  if and only if  $\alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z)$  is semisimple at  $x \in S$ . If  $S$  is a two-torus,  $\text{trace} A$  is a constant, hence we have proved

**Proposition 2.1.** For any non-conformal harmonic map  $T^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ ,  $\alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z)$  is a semisimple element.

Thus, we have

**Theorem 2.2 [B-F-P-P].** Any non-conformal harmonic map from  $T^2$  into  $\mathbf{C}P^n$  is of finite type.

### 3. Weakly conformal harmonic map $S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$ .

Let  $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$  be a weakly conformal harmonic map with isotropy order  $r(\geq 1)$ .

Let  $\hat{f}_i$  be a local non-zero holomorphic section of  $L_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r-1$  and  $(\hat{f}_r, \dots, \hat{f}_n)$  be a local field of unitary frame for  $L_r$ . We may suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$A_z^{L_i, L_{i+1}}(f_i) = f_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, r-2.$$

Set  $\hat{f} = (\hat{f}_0, \hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n)$ . We reset  $f_i = (\det \hat{f})^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \hat{f}_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , define  $F_i$  by  $F_i = \exp(-w_i) f_i$ , where  $w_i = \log |f_i|$ . Then,  $F = (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n)$  is  $SU(n+1)$ -valued. Set

$$(3.1) \quad A_z^{L_{r-1}, L_r}(f_{r-1}) = \sum_{j=r}^n a_j f_j, \quad A_z^{L_0, L_r}(f_0) = \sum_{j=r}^n b_j f_j.$$

Then, we have

$$(F^{-1} \partial F / \partial z)_{j,0} = \langle \partial F_0 / \partial z, \overline{F_j} \rangle = \exp(w_1 - w_0) \delta_{1j}, \quad (j \geq 1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (F^{-1} \partial F / \partial z)_{i,j} &= \langle \partial F_j / \partial z, \overline{F_i} \rangle \\ &= \langle A_z^{L_j, L_{j+1}}(F_j), \overline{F_i} \rangle = \exp(w_{j+1} - w_j) \delta_{i, j+1}, \quad (0 \leq i \neq j \leq r-1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (F^{-1} \partial F / \partial z)_{i,j} &= \langle A_z^{L_r, L_r^\perp}(F_j), \overline{F_i} \rangle = -\langle \overline{F_j}, A_z^{L_0, L_r}(F_i) \rangle \\ &= -\overline{b_j} \exp(w_j - w_0) \delta_{i,0} \pmod{k^{\mathbf{C}}}, \quad (0 \leq i \leq r-1, r \leq j \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (F^{-1} \partial F / \partial z)_{i,j} &= \langle A_z^{L_j, L_{j+1}}(F_j), \overline{F_i} \rangle \\ &= a_i \exp(w_i - w_j) \delta_{j, r-1} \pmod{k^{\mathbf{C}}}, \quad (r \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq r-1), \end{aligned}$$

$$(F^{-1} \partial F / \partial z)_{i,j} = 0 \pmod{k^{\mathbf{C}}} \quad \text{for } r \leq i \neq j \leq n.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha'_m(\partial/\partial z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\bar{\mathbf{b}} \\ w_{1,0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{1,n-r+1} \\ 0 & w_{2,1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{1,n-r+1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_{r-1,r-2} & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{1,n-r+1} \\ & & \mathbf{0}_{n-r+1,r-1} & & {}^t\mathbf{a} & \mathbf{0}_{n-r+1,n-r+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

### References

- [B-P-W] J. Bolton, F. Pedit and L. Woodward, Minimal surfaces and the affine Toda field model, preprint.
- [B-F-P-P] F. E. Burstall, D. Ferus, F. Pedit and U. Pinkall, Harmonic tori in symmetric spaces and commuting Hamiltonian systems on loop algebras, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [B-P] F. E. Burstall and F. Pedit, Harmonic maps via Adler-Kostant-Symes theory, preprint.
- [B-R] F. E. Burstall and J. H. Rawnsley, Twistor theory for Riemannian symmetric spaces, Lect. Notes in Math. **1424**, Springer-Verlag, 1990, Berlin-Heidelberg-NewYork-Tokyo.
- [B] F. E. Burstall, Harmonic tori in spheres and complex projective spaces, in preparation.
- [B-W] F. E. Burstall and J. C. Wood,
- [K] O. Kowalski, Generalized symmetric spaces, Lect. Notes in Math. **805**, Springer-Verlag 1980, Berlin-Heidelberg-NewYork.
- [U] S. Udagawa, Non-superminimal harmonic tori in complex Grassmann manifolds of rank 2, in preparation.

*Present adress :*

Department of Mathematics  
 School of Medicine  
 Nihon University  
 Itabashi, Tokyo 173  
 Japan

*e-mail adress :* h01217@sinet.ad.jp